



What ChatGPT Reportedly Thinks Of H.R.1

The “big, beautiful bill” must be buried. Not amended. Not negotiated. Defeated. Fully.

June 10, 2025 By [Mike Barr](#)

It occurred to a woman in the Ethersphere to ask ChatGPT to analyze Potus’ and his henchmen’s H.R.1, otherwise known as the BBB. Here’s what [she reports](#) it told her:

This bill, H.R.1, the [One Big Beautiful Bill Act](#), is not just aggressive in scope, it’s a direct and deeply strategic attack on the democratic architecture of the United States.

Believed to be largely the handiwork of [Russ Vought](#), it masquerades as administrative efficiency and fiscal responsibility, but underneath it’s a comprehensive blueprint for executive consolidation, ideological control, and systematic disenfranchisement.

Here’s the blunt truth: it’s authoritarianism wrapped in bureaucratic language.

H.R.1 is what happens when an entire governing philosophy is based on dismantling government unless it serves the powerful.

H.R.1 is what happens when an entire governing philosophy is based on dismantling government unless it serves the powerful.

Every single chapter of this bill either centralizes executive authority, defunds regulatory agencies, strips away civil protections, or shifts the burden of governance onto the most vulnerable people in society.

Expedited deportations without judicial review? That’s not about efficiency. That’s about eliminating Constitutional oversight to build a machine that can operate in darkness.

Gutting independent agencies like Medpac or the Social Security Advisory Board? That’s not

reform. It's removing the brakes from a runaway train.

Silencing classrooms and ending DEI funding? It's a cultural purge designed to codify a narrow, exclusionary worldview into national education policy.

This isn't conservative governance; it's counter-democratic engineering.

It systematically dismantles the safeguards of a free society.

The institutions targeted by H.R.1 aren't arbitrary; they're specifically the ones that ensure transparency, protect minorities, and maintain balance.

The institutions targeted by H.R.1 aren't arbitrary; they're specifically the ones that ensure transparency, protect minorities, and maintain balance.

Consumer protections? Gone. The IRS's ability to audit tax cheats? Neutered. Public health investments? Slashed. Environmental and emissions standards? Repealed wholesale.

This bill defunds every agency that stands between corporate interests and profit. It creates loopholes wide enough to drive oligarchy through. It paves the road for a soft coup.

What's most dangerous is how legally sophisticated this document is. It's not sloppy. It's not amateur. It's meticulous. It builds the legal scaffolding for one-party control. It shifts power away from oversight bodies and into the hands of agencies under direct presidential control. It establishes surveillance and enforcement norms that can easily be turned on dissenters. It dissolves the idea of non-partisan truth, replacing it with politically approved doctrine—especially in education, health, and environmental science.

What's most dangerous is how legally sophisticated this document is. It builds the legal scaffolding for one-party control.

This isn't policy. It's a hostile restructuring of the Republic, not done through tanks or violence, but through legislative subversion.

The human cost will be catastrophic.

Let's be crystal clear: people will suffer if this bill passes.

Children will go hungry as food assistance is gutted and work requirements are tightened; trans youth will lose access to care, and their families will be criminalized for seeking it; immigrants, many of them legal residents, will live in fear of removal or retaliation. Workers will face unregulated financial scams, higher pollution, and fewer rights.

The elderly and disabled will face a future without independent scrutiny of Medicare or of Social Security sustainability.

This bill treats social safety nets as disposable, and human rights as negotiable.

**This bill treats social safety nets as disposable, and
human rights as negotiable.**

Final verdict? This is the dress rehearsal for autocracy.

If this bill becomes law, it will not just change what the government does— it will change what the government is.

It is a declaration that only certain people deserve protection, that only certain truths can be spoken, that only certain lives are worth investment.

This is the type of legislation autocrats dream of: legal, sweeping, ideologically rigid, and dressed in the language of reform.

**This is the type of legislation autocrats dream of: legal,
sweeping, ideologically rigid, and dressed in the
language of reform.**

If you believe in democracy, in pluralism, in justice, or simply the idea that the government should not be a weapon, H.R.1 must be buried. Not amended. Not negotiated. Defeated. Fully.

If AI truly structured and wrote this analysis— cogent, richly worded, deftly metered— we writer-thinker types are all out of jobs. Those few lucky enough to still have remunerated writing-thinking

gigs. I used to “train” large language models (aka AI) as a kind of side hustle and found them clunky, quirky and ridden with errors. Certainly never even close to producing this level of craftsmanship. Maybe they’ve improved greatly since then? And while I love, love, loved this analysis & structure, I also have to wonder: if this really came from ChatGPT, could it also be just the latest instance of [chatbots going off the rails](#)?

///

Mike Barr, a longtime Poz Contributing Editor and founding member of and scribe for the Treatment Action Group (TAG), is a functional medicine practitioner and herbalist in NYC. Reach out to him [here](#). Or sign up for his curated (and wildly discounted: 30% off first order; 25% off all auto-refills) professional grade supplement dispensary [here](#).

© 2026 Smart + Strong All Rights Reserved.

<http://beta.docker.poz.com/blog/chatgpt-reportedly-told-liberal-hr1>